

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/730,326	12/04/2000	Daniel Schreiber	21939-05596	8195
7590 07/27/2005			EXAMINER	
EITAN LAW GROUP		DADA, BEEMNET W		
7 Shenkar St POB 2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Herzlia, 4612	0		2135	
ISRAEL			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Sammer		bN					
## Examiner ## Dada ## 2135  ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified sheet is less than their Quid days are sply the limely filed effect six (9) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified sheet is less than their Quid days are sply with the statutory minimum of thin Quid days will be considered involve.  If the period for reply specified sheet is less than their Quid days are sply with the statutory minimum of thin Quid days will be considered involve.  If the period for reply specified sheet than these motions after the minimum of the statutory minimum of thin Quid days will be considered involved. The period of the period of the period of the split of the period of th		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Beammet W. Dada  2135  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The period for reply specified shove is less than thin? (30) steps, a perply within the addressy relative in the proof for reply specified shove is less than thin? (30) steps, a perply within the sid corespondence and the period for reply specified shove is less than thin? (30) steps, a perply within the sid corespondence and the period for reply specified shove is less than thin? (30) steps, a perply within the sid corespondence and the period of the period for reply specified by the best of revised by the corespondence and the period of the period for reply specified by the less do revised by the corespondence and the period of the period of the period for reply specified by the less do revised by the corespondence and the period of the	Office Action Commons	09/730,326	SCHREIBER ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of the marry be evaluated under the prosisions of 3 CFR 1.136(p). In no event, however, may a reply the timely field  if the ported for reply separation above is less than thirty (00) days, are reply within the statutory without the reply in specified there is the submit when the providence of the providence of the providence of the providence of the separation of the providence of the providence of the providence of the separation of the providence of the providence of the separation of the separation of the providence of the separation of the separation of the providence of the separation of the separation of the providence of the separation of the separatio	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing dise of this communication.  If the period for exply a specified above, the maximus statutory part of values of the specified above, the maximus statutory part of values of values of the specified above, the maximus statutory part of values of values of the specified above, the maximus statutory part of values of va							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of th							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2005  2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>is/are</u> soliced.  5)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are</u> objected to. 8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on <u>is/are</u> : a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on <u>is/are</u> : a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  5□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper Not(s)/Mail Date.  9□ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers   9) Acplication and request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Apper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	Status						
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to pending in the Examiner. 10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Altachment(s) 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 7  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ma	ay 2005.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)							
4)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Parfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Other: Shotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) □ Other: Shotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Other:							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) ☐ Other:							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) ☐ Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:		4) X Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	ate				

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)



Art Unit: 2135

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in reply to an amendment filed on May 06, 2005. Claims 1, 4, 7, 11, 15, 16, 25 and 27 have been amended. Claims 1-26 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed May 06, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-6 and 17-26 under 35 U.S.C 102(a) as being anticipated by Glogau WO 98/25373 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glogau WO 98/25373 in view of Erickson US 5,765,152.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, filed May 06, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7-16 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glogau WO 98/25373 in view of Granger et al. US Patent 6,480,959 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glogau WO 98/25373 in view of Granger et al. US Patent 6,480,959 and further in view of Erickson US 5,765,152.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2135

5. Claim 1-6 and 17-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glogau WO 98/25373 in view of Erickson US Patent 5,765,152.

6. As per claims 1, 4 and 25-26, Glogau teaches a method for protecting files distributed over a network, comprising:

displaying a site map of folders and files (i.e., displaying a listing of selection of a website) in a server computer file system [page 6, lines 1-6, and page 18, lines 10-24];

generating protection status information in response to selection by a user of at least one of the files in the site map, the protection status information designating the at least one of the files selected by the user as being protected files [page 6 lines 4-14 and page 18, lines 15-24]; and

sending the protection status information to a server computer [page 18, lines 15-24 and page 23, lines 5-22];

downloading at least one file from the server computer to a client computer [page 7, lines 11-17 and page 19, lines 3-20]; and

copy-protecting the at least one file by copy-protection software running on the client computer (see for example page 9, lines 18-22), if the at least one file is designated by the protection status information as being protected [page 19, line 22 – page 20 line 22]. Glogau further teaches that the invention may be implemented by an client computer system in combination of a web site computer system owner or any quantity of server or host computer systems [see page 33, lines 5-20]. Glogau does not explicitly teach an administrative computer for retrieving a site map of folders and files within a server computer file system, the administration computer being remote from the server computer and communicatively coupled therewith. However, within the same field of endeavor Erickson teaches a system and method

Art Unit: 2135

for managing copyrighted electronic media [see abstract], including an administrative computer for retrieving a site map of folders and files within a server computer file system, the administration computer being remote from the server computer and communicatively coupled therewith (i.e., registration and authorization server 202, library 206 and author & user computers 210, 220 of figure 9 and column 25, lines 29-57 and column 26, lines 19-42]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ the teachings of Erickson within the system of Glogau in order to allow remote

Page 4

7. As per claims 2 and 5, Glogau further teaches the method wherein aid displaying displays the site map together with a corresponding protection status for each file in the site map, and wherein the method further comprises tote step of modifying protection status of at least one of the files, and wherein said sending sends modified protection status information to the server computer [page 6 lines 4-14 and page 18, lines 15-24].

administration of copy protection system thereby enhancing the security of the system.

- 8. As per claims 3 and 6, Glogau further teaches the method further comprising recording the protection status information in a protection status database [page 23, lines 16-23].
- 9. As per claims 17- 20, Glogau further teaches the system wherein the copy-protection module residing on the client computer blocks the ability to capture data of a file being displayed on a computer screen, wherein the file being displayed is an image file [page 24, lines 3-14].

Art Unit: 2135

- 10. As per claims 21-24, Glogau further teaches the method wherein, generating generates protection status information designating all images within a folder / web page as being protected, in response to selection by the user of the folder / web page [page 23, lines 5-15].
- 11. Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glogau WO 98/25373 in view of Granger et al. US Patent 6,480,959 B1 (hereinafter Granger) and further in view of Erickson US Patent 5,765,152.
- 12. As per claims 7, 11, 15, 16 Glogau teaches a method for protecting files distributed over a network, Comprising:

displaying a site map of folders and files (i.e., displaying a listing of selection of a website) in a server computer file system [page 6, lines 1-6, and page 18, lines 10-24];

generating protection status information in response to selection by a user of at least one of the files in the site map, the protection status information designating the at least one of the files selected by the user as being protected files [page 6 lines 4-14 and page 18, lines 15-24]; and

sending the protection status information to a server computer [page 18, lines 15-24 and page 23, lines 5-22];

downloading at least one file from the server computer to a client computer [page 7, lines 11-17 and page 19, lines 3-20]. Furthermore, Glogau teaches protecting the at least one file by copy-protection software running on the client computer, if the at least one file is designated by the protection status information as being protected [page 19, line 22 – page 20 line 22 and page 20 lines 2- page21 line 5]. Glogau is silent of encrypting at least one file on the server computer, if the at least one file is designated by the protection status information as being protected.

Art Unit: 2135

However, copy protection of information by encryption is well known in the art. For example Granger teaches a method for copy protection of software applications including encrypting files for copy protection [see abstract and column 6, lines 14-44]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the copy protection by encrypting files as taught by Granger within the copy protection method of Glogau thereby enhancing the security of the system from unauthorized use.

Glogau further teaches that the invention may be implemented by a client computer system in combination of a web site computer system owner or any quantity of server or host computer systems [see page 33, lines 5-20]. Glogau does not explicitly teach an administrative computer for retrieving a site map of folders and files within a server computer file system, the administration computer being remote from the server computer and communicatively coupled therewith. However, within the same field of endeavor Erickson teaches a system and method for managing copyrighted electronic media [see abstract], including an administrative computer for retrieving a site map of folders and files within a server computer file system, the administration computer being remote from the server computer and communicatively coupled therewith (i.e., registration and authorization server 202, library 206 and author & user computers 210, 220 of figure 9 and column 25, lines 29-57 and column 26, lines 19-42]. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to employ the teachings of Erickson within the system of Glogau-Granger in order to allow remote administration of copy protection system thereby enhancing the security of the system.

13. As per claims 8 and 12, Glogau further teaches downloading at least one file from the server computer to a client computer [page 7, lines 11-17 and page 19, lines 3-20]; and copyprotecting the at least one file by copy-protection software running on the client computer, if the Art Unit: 2135

at least one file is designated by the protection status information as being protected [page 19, line 22 – page 20 line 22] and Granger teaches an encryption method for copy protection of files see abstract and column 6, lines 14-44].

- 14. As per claims 9 and 13, Granger further teaches decrypting encrypted files by decoding software running on client computer [column 6, lines 25-35].
- 15. As per claims 10 and 14, Glogau further teaches the file on the server computer is at least one image file [page 14, lines 18-24].

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2135

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beemnet W. Dada whose telephone number is (571) 272-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Beemnet Dada

July 22, 2005

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM!"
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210